

EXPLORING PSYCHOLOGICAL WELL-BEING AND BODILY IDENTITIES FORMATION OF YOUTH SPORTS ADAPTED PRACTITIONERS. COMPARISON OF PEOPLE WITH CONGENITAL AND ACQUIRED DISABILITIES

ESPLORARE IL BENESSERE PSICOLOGICO E LA FORMAZIONE DELLE IDENTITÀ CORPOREE IN GIOVANI PRATICANTI SPORT ADATTATI. CONFRONTO TRA PERSONE CON DISABILITÀ CONGENITE E ACQUISITE

Stefano Scarpa

Università degli Studi Giustino Fortunato
s.scarpa@unifortunato.eu

Abstract

This cross-sectional and randomized block design (RBD) study, after describing the physical self concept in people with motor disabilities who play sports, examined the differences among athletes with congenital and acquired disabilities and assessed the influence of age of disability onset of disability on physical self-concept perceptions. Participants were 201 athletes with acquired motor disabilities and 185 athletes with congenital motor disabilities. Ages ranged from 12-28 years, and athletes were both male and female. For RDB, we used a specific function of SPSS 29.0 to create and compare two random samples of 100 participants with congenital disabilities and 100 participants with acquired disabilities. All participants completed an adapted version of the *Physical Self-Description Questionnaire* (PSDQ-S; Scarpa *et al.* 2010), on which we tested group differences with ANOVA. We also used Pearson correlation analysis to examine the relationship between both 'years without disability' and dimensions of physical self-concept. All participants achieved positive average scores. Participants with acquired disabilities had higher average physical self-concept scores, relative to those with congenital disabilities. Early onset of physical disability negatively affected self-esteem, and most dimensions of physical self-concept.

Questo studio trasversale e basato su un "randomized block design" (RBD), dopo aver descritto il concetto del sé corporeo in persone con disabilità motoria che praticano sport, esamina le differenze tra gli atleti con disabilità congenita o acquisita e valuta l'influenza dell'età dell'insorgenza della disabilità sulle percezioni del concetto del sé corporeo. Hanno partecipato 201 atleti con disabilità motorie acquisite e 185 atleti con disabilità motorie congenite di entrambi i generi e di età compresa tra i 12 e 28 anni. Grazie al RBD, abbiamo utilizzato una funzione specifica di SPSS 29.0 per creare e confrontare due campioni casuali di 100 partecipanti con disabilità congenite e 100 partecipanti con disabilità acquisite. Tutti i partecipanti hanno completato una versione adattata del *Physical Self-Description Questionnaire* (PSDQ-S; Scarpa *et al.* 2010), sul quale sono state testate le differenze tra gruppi attraverso l'analisi della varianza (ANOVA). Grazie alle correlazioni di Pearson sono state analizzate le relazioni significative tra le variabili "anni senza disabilità" e le 11 dimensioni del concetto del sé corporeo. Tutti i partecipanti hanno ottenuto punteggi medi positivi. I partecipanti con disabilità acquisite hanno ottenuto generalmente punteggi medi più elevati rispetto a quelli con disabilità congenite in quasi tutte le dimensioni del sé corporeo. L'esordio precoce della disabilità sembra influenzare negativamente l'autostima e la maggior parte delle dimensioni del concetto di sé corporeo.

Keywords

Physical Self-Description; Motor disability; Congenital disability; Acquired disability; Sports.
Auto-descrizione del proprio corpo; Disabilità motoria; Disabilità congenita; Disabilità acquisita; Sport.

1. Introduction

Embodied cognition is a construct closely related to physical self-concept, which could contribute to psycho-physical well-being and social inclusion in people with disabilities. According to Fox (1990, 1998), the physical self-concept can be defined as a combination of knowledge, feelings, memories and experiences relating to one's body; it is a self-described profile based on the multitude of roles and attributes that we believe constitute our body-image, motor skills and abilities. The formation of a physical self-concept represents an important developmental task. Both cognitive processes and social experiences contribute to the development of one's body-image which is not exclusively influenced by either real data attesting to one's physical conformation or psychological, emotional and personal ideas, but, rather, by the close interaction of both of these components and other social factors. Thus, the construction of a physical self-concept is multidimensional and hierarchical (Marsh & Yeung 1998; Marsh, Richards, Johnson, Roche, & Tremayne 1994). Based on the rationale existing Scarpa (2011a) proposed a pyramid model of this multidimensional-hierarchical construction of the physical self, which is presented in the current form in Figure 1.




Fig. 1. (Multidimensional-hierarchical physical self-concept, adapted from Scarpa 2011).

1.1. Multidimensional-hierarchical physical self-concept

Figure 1 graphically represents the multidimensional-hierarchical construction of the physical self, considering both the classic factors related to the physical self (Fox, & Corbin 1989; Harter 1982; Marsh 1990; Marsh *et al.* 1994) and more recently used concepts, such as 'motor competence' (Cattuzzo *et al.* 2016; Henrique Ré, & Stodden 2015), assuming that: (a) the specific sub-domains of the physical self construct have been hierarchically organized on the basis of past literature; (b) lower level percepts affect all higher level constructs; (c) components of the same level affect each other alike; (d) components placed in brackets are mentioned in current literature but not measured by the Physical Self-Description Questionnaire (PSDQ-S; Scarpa, Gobbi, Paggiaro & Carraro 2010) used in this study; and (e) the global self-concept inserted in dotted form is not measured by the PSDQ but is certainly influenced by the physical self-concept and other components of the self.

Research into the benefits of sport for people with disabilities has gained impetus in recent years, showing that engagement in sports led to both physiological and psychological benefits (Carless, Peacock, McKenna, & Cooke 2013; Hanson, Nabavi, & Yuen 2001; for a review see Martin Ginis, Jetha, Mack & Hetz 2010). Some studies analyzed the effects of sport participa-

tion on self-perception, and, particularly, on body image and satisfaction with one's own body. Groff and Kleiber (2001) analyzed identity formation in young people involved in an adapted sports program. Identity is generally defined as the set of mental representations that one uses to relate to his/her personal and social characteristics. Groff and Kleiber verified, among adolescents with motor disabilities, that that adapted sport helps to improve self-perceptions of one's skills and abilities, emotional expression and social interaction and decrease self-perceptions of disability, seemingly facilitating the exploration and expression of alternative forms of identity. Ferreira & Fox (2008) analyzed multiple aspects of the physical self in male basketball players, with and without disabilities and found that wheelchair sport participation contributed to positive development of the physical self while also providing a unique opportunity to engage in a successful, complex sports performance. The athletic context was extremely important for people with disabilities, providing them opportunities to experience new challenges, positively evaluate their abilities and gain confidence and a positive body-image while also experiencing social successes. Athletes with motor disabilities (peripheral or central paraplegia) who engaged in sport gained confidence in their bodies, a greater sense of attractiveness and positive opinions with respect to their bodies' sports capacity. Shapiro and Martin (2010) described the multidimensional concept of the physical self in athletes with motor disabilities. Among their principal results, they emphasized that at least 50% of their participants' general self-esteem was determined by perception of the physical self. The other 50% was probably attributable to other dimensions of self (academic, emotional, social). The areas relating to flexibility, strength and endurance appeared to be extremely important and had a strong influence on general self-concept. This study highlighted that participants with a more positive conception of their physical self, of strength, of endurance and of flexibility, had higher self-esteem relative to those who obtained lower scores on these four variables. Based on the broad rationale mentioned above in the literature of the field, The first aim of the study is to estimate the average values of the PSDQ in a sample of Italian adolescents and young adults who regularly practice sport. Considering the arguments just made above, the hypothesize is that they present higher levels of physical self-concept than their peers that are not involved in sport activities, even when compared to a normative sample.

To the best of our knowledge, no studies focused specifically on differences in physical self-concept among athletes with congenital versus acquired motor disabilities. Sport research has mainly investigated athletes with congenital or acquired disabilities in terms of self-esteem (Cambell 1995), or have investigated athletes with disabilities without regard to whether they were acquired or congenital in terms of sport anxiety (Mastro & Frenchr 1984), goal perspectives (Skafida 2002), sport achievement orientation (Page, O'Connor, & Waydav 2000; Skordilis *et al.* 2006), or competitive orientation (O'Connor & Wayda 2000). In the present research we have been inspired by Cambell's study to contrast whether athletes with acquired versus congenital disabilities exhibited differences in physical self-concept and global self-esteem.

Campbell (1995) evaluated differences in psychological well-being among athletes in wheelchairs sport participants with both congenital and acquired disabilities. Psychological well-being was assessed using scores related to mood, trait anxiety, self-esteem and sense of mastery. The results evidenced that groups of individuals with acquired disabilities had a better mood, higher self-esteem and sense of mastery, and less trait anxiety than groups of individuals with congenital disabilities. Athletes in wheelchairs with disabilities acquired later in life had an overall higher sense of well-being than those with congenital disabilities. Campbell suggested that people with congenital disabilities are likely to be helped most by parents and other persons during their growth and development, but that they may generally demonstrate less independence and fewer personal skills to cope with stressful situations than is the case for people with acquired disabilities.

Based on psychosocial theory and Campbell's (1995) findings, the second aim of this study is to examine differences in physical self-description and self-esteem between athletes in wheelchairs with acquired versus congenital disabilities. Expected that athletes with an ac-

quired motor disability would have a more favourable physical self-concept than athletes with congenital disabilities. With a third point, it is hypothesized a positive correlation between the number of years prior to disability onset and more positive perceptions of physical self. Hopper (1984) studied the influence of disability onset on self-esteem in athletes in wheelchairs, and found that an earlier onset of disability was associated with a lower self-esteem. Considering that the physical self is a relevant component of the global self-esteem, it is expected similar results related to physical self-esteem.

2. Method

2.1. Participants

Thanks to the website ‘www.superando.it’ (a website about disability and inclusion) which advertised our research and thanks to the direct contact with the President of Paratetraplegic Italian Federation Associations (PPIFA), we created an online network enabling us to reach and make contact with most Italian sports associations for paraplegics and athletes wheelchairs, from which we recruited our participants. All participants or underage participants’ parents signed an informed consent. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and was approved by the Ethical Committee of our University.

We recruited 201 athletes with acquired and 185 with congenital disabilities, between the ages of 12 and 28 years ($n = 368$; $M = 19.98$; $SD = 6.45$; gender: 191 females and 195 males). We adopted a randomized block research design (RDB) and used a function of SPSS 29.0 to randomly extract subsamples from this population. Thus, we randomly assigned 100 individuals each from our acquired and congenital disability samples into two equal-sized comparative groups. All participants engaged in sports activities with wheelchairs due to paraplegia (complete paralysis of the lower limbs) from either a central (spastic form) or a peripheral lesion (flaccid form). Those with latest disability onset were recruited at least two years after their trauma, after they were already able to practice sports.

2.2. Instruments and procedure

Demographic information collected anonymously in a nearby secluded training facility before or after participants sports participation included gender, type of disability, origin of disability, time of disability onset and type of sport practiced. Additionally, participants completed the Physical Self-Description Questionnaire - Short form (PSDQ-S) validated in the Italian language in a sample of 951 participants aged 11–36 years (Scarpa, Gobbi, Paggiaro, & Carraro 2010). The questionnaire consists of 43 items derived from the Italian version (Meleddu, Scaldas, & Guicciardi 2002) of the 70-item Physical Self-Description Questionnaire (PSDQ; Marsh *et al.* 1994), but items were chosen following the first short version validated by Peart, Marsh, & Richards (2005). The 43-item short form can be administered more quickly and ensures greater attention and accuracy in participants’ responses, particularly for people with disabilities who generally experience attention and concentration difficulty. Each item is a simple declarative statement to which participants respond on a 6-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (false) to 6 (true). The PSDQ-S measures 11 factors related to self-ratings of one’s body, motor abilities, and skills: *Activity, Appearance, Obesity, Coordination, Endurance, Flexibility, Health, Sports Ability, Strength, Global Physical Self-concept, and Global Self-Esteem* (Scarpa *et al.* 2010) reported acceptable internal scale consistency, with Cronbach’s α ranging from .77 to .91. The relevance of existing indices revealed a good adjustment of the original model to the Italian population with RMSEA = .053, NFI = .965, NNFI = .972, CFI = .975, GFI = .874, AGFI = .852, PGFI = .744. The invariance cross gender and age was also tested. In a previous study with a sample of 143 adolescents and young people with physical disability (Scarpa 2011ab), some items were adapted to fit disability characteristics. For example, the sentence “*I can run a long way...*” was changed into, “*I can cover long distances...*” Cronbach’s α of the scales ranged from .76 to .92. The adapted items were used in the present study.

2.3. Data analyses

Statistical data analysis was carried out with SPSS software (version 29.0), R, LISREL. Internal consistency and reliability of the PSDQ was tested using Cronbach's Alpha indexes for each variable in the entire sample of the present study. Descriptive statistics (means and standard deviations) were calculated for each of the 11 subscales of the PSDQ-S in order to describe the entire sample and then the relative physical self-description scores of participants in the two groups (acquired vs congenital disabilities). Score differences between groups were evaluated by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), and effect size was calculated using Cohen's *d* for two independent means (Rosnow & Rosenthal 2009). We also calculated Pearson correlations between both PSDQ components of the dimensions of physical self-concept and the variables 'years without disability'. Finally, linear regression was used for significant relationships between variables, placing the first (PSDQ scales) as dependent and the second as independent ('years without disability'). The significance was assessed with the p value placing it at three levels: $p < .001$; $p < .01$; $p < .05$.

3. Results

Descriptive statistics of 11 PSDQ subscales showed positive mean scores (> 4) across all in athletes with motor disabilities: *Activity* (M= 4.1; SD= 1.3), *Appearance* (M= 4.2; SD= 1.0), *Obesity* (M= 4.5; SD= 1.6), *Coordination* (M= 4.6; SD= 1.1), *Endurance* (M= 4.2; SD= 1.2), *Flexibility* (M= 4.1; SD= 1.5), *Health* (M= 5.0; SD= 1.0), *Sports Ability* (M= 4.4; SD= .9), *Strength* (M= 4.9; SD= 1.5), *Global Physical Self-concept* (M= 4.4; SD= 1.3), and *Global Self-Esteem* (M= 4.9; SD= .8). Data comparable and in some cases superior to the reference regulatory standards (Ferreira & Fox 2008; Marsh *et al.* 1994; Martin 2006; Scarpa 201ab; Shapiro & Martin 2010).

Table 1 presents the ANOVA results comparing participant groups with acquired and congenital disabilities for 11 PSDQ-S scales (Cronbach alpha coefficients; M; SD; p-value; Cohen's *d*). The high internal consistency of the 11 PSDQ-S scales (Cronbach alpha coefficients ranging from .75 to .91; mean = .83), and. Athletes with acquired disabilities obtained higher mean scores in all the dimensions of the physical self, with the exception of the health and appearance subscales.

Table 1.
Scale description, analysis of variance with p-values and effect sizes, Cronbach's Alpha Coefficients.

PDSQ-S Scales	Whole Sample N=200	Athetes with Congenital Disability n=100	Athetes with Acquired Disability n=100			
	Means (SD)	Means (SD)	Means (SD)	p-values	Effect Sizes Cohen's <i>d</i>	Cronbach's Alpha Co- efficients
<i>Activity</i>	3.83 (1.36)	3.46 (1.35)	4.20 (1.33)	.014*	.55	.87
<i>Endurance</i>	4.34 (1.22)	3.83 (1.11)	4.84 (1.23)	.015*	.86	.85
<i>Strength</i>	4.21 (.85)	3.97 (1.34)	4.44 (.82)	.013*	.42	.81
<i>Flexibility</i>	4.36 (.98)	3.81 (1.11)	4.90 (.95)	.003*	1.05	.76
<i>Coordination</i>	4.18 (.97)	3.74 (1.7)	4.62 (.94)	.011*	.64	.82
<i>Sport Ability</i>	4.26 (.98)	4.4 (1,11)	4.47 (.93)	.043*	.42	.85
<i>Health</i>	5.01 (1.00)	5.05 (.83)	4.96 (1.05)	.621	.09	.83
<i>Body Fat</i>	4.02 (1.66)	3.56 (1.71)	4.47 (1.39)	.029*	.58	.91
<i>Appearance</i>	4.24 (.96)	4.22 (1.05)	4.26 (.94)	.832	.04	.75

<i>Global Physical</i>	4.50 (1.14)	4.14 (1.21)	4.86 (1.11)	.022*	.62	.87
<i>Self-Esteem</i>	5.22 (.73)	4.83 (.71)	5.60 (.74)	.019*	1.06	.85

Sign. * $p < .05$; SD = standard deviations.

Table 2 shows correlations among PSDQ-S variables, partially confirming the relationships presented in the graphical representation of the multidimensional and hierarchical physical self-concept described in the Introduction to this paper (see Fig. 1).

Table 2.
Zero-order correlations among PSDQ variables.

PSDQ Variables	r									
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1. Activity	.717**	.536*	.512*	.543*	.283	.157	.292	.184	.173	.234
2. Endurance		.379*	.367*	.424*	.393*	.345*	.401*	.321	.181	.257
3. Strength			.389*	.487*	.421*	.367*	.333*	.342*	.234	.215
4. Flexibility				.513*	.411*	.341*	.362*	.306*	.198	.203
5. Coordination					.532**	.322*	.354*	.312*	.143	.125
6. Sport Ability						.311*	.343*	.356*	.354*	.234
7. Health							.412*	.354*	.387*	.215
8. Body Fat								.432*	.536**	.253
9. Appearance									.585**	.246
10. Global Physical										.683**
11. Self-Esteem										/

Sign. ** $p < .01$; * $p < .05$.

Table 3 shows the results of the Pearson correlations (r and p -value) between the variables ‘years without disability’ and the multiple components of the physical self as measured by the PSDQ-S. Significant values have been identified with an asterisk. There were significant correlations between BMI and body fat scale in athletes with acquired disability ($r = .768$ and $p < .001$) and in athletes with congenital disability ($r = .852$ and $p < .0001$).

Table 3.
Correlations between the variables: ‘years without disability’ and PSDQ components.

Variables		<i>Activity</i>	<i>Endurance</i>	<i>Strength</i>	<i>Flexibility</i>	<i>Coordination</i>	<i>Sport Ability</i>	<i>Health</i>	<i>Body Fat</i>	<i>Appearance</i>	<i>Global Physical</i>	<i>Self-Esteem</i>
<i>Years without disability</i>	<i>r</i>	.424*	.523*	.394*	.567**	.527**	.398*	.125	.486*	.09	.655**	.761**
	<i>p-value</i>	.021	.015	.042	.001	.001	.019	.503	.026	.768	<.0001	<.0001

4. Discussion

The main purpose of this study was to describe the physical self-description in a sample of young athletes with physical disabilities, evaluate differences in physical self-perceptions between groups with congenital or acquired disabilities, and examine the correlation between the self-reported variables of ‘years without disability’ and various aspects of physical self-perception. Based on work by Campbell (1995), it was hypothesized that athletes with an acquired motor disability would have a more favourable physical self-concept than athletes with congenital disabilities and the results confirmed this hypothesis. Significant differences emerged between groups in each physical self-concept domain except health and appearance.

Athletes with acquired disabilities obtained higher scores than athletes with congenital disabilities on all ‘physical fitness’ measures of the PSDQ-S and on the ‘body fat’ scale (“not being too fat”), suggesting more positive self-perceptions on variables that correspond to such past literature variables as physical fitness tests, BMI, and body composition (Carraro, Scarpa, & Ventura 2010; Bassett, Martin Ginis, & Buchholz 2009). The longer the period of growth and development experienced before a person’s disability, the more likely it is that the individual will have developed better functional skills, sport competence and coping capacities with which to confront and accept their acquired disability. These data are also consistent with psycho-social theories suggesting that the development of physical self concept occurs in a social context (Li & Moore 1998). Athletes with acquired disability also obtained higher scores than athletes with congenital disabilities on global self-concept within the PSDQ-S, suggesting that they may be associated better adjusted generally to their disability. Especially if we define self esteem as “the summation of positive self attitudes and competencies,” it possible to expect a strong relationship between self-esteem and disability acceptance (Li & Moore 1998)

It should be noted also that both groups of athletes with disabilities in the present sample obtained good to high values on all PSDQ-S scales, probably because they were self-selected from among athletes engaged in significant sport practice. While sport practice seems to play an important role in consolidating a positive sense of physical self and reducing potentially negative effects of disability on one's physical self-perception (Taleporos & McCabe 2002), participants of this study were all heavily engaged in sports activities and practice and may have differed from a more general sample of persons with disabilities not only in their interests in sports but also in their abilities to participate in sports. Thus, while there are numerous psychosocial benefits to sport participation for people with disability, especially including physical competence, self-efficacy, and self-concept (Martin, Vitali & Whalen 2015), there may also be a self-selection process such that individuals with disabilities who participate heavily in sports may be less disabled than peers who do not. Significant increases in the physical self-concept of person with disabilities tend to be due to motor skill development. Perhaps participation in sports not only allows people with disabilities the opportunity to develop or redefine their physical abilities, but it may also serve to mark or separate those who have already progressed in their physical abilities beyond non-participants in sports .

With regard the last hypothesis, correlative data between physical self-perceptions and the period of time without a disability may be considered a proxy for addressing our assumption that a late onset disability should be associated with a more positive self-perception. In fact, a positive correlation emerged between the number of years a person experienced prior to disability onset and higher evaluations of the physical self. We suspected that people whose motor disability resulted from trauma or injury later in life or at a more advanced age would have a more positive conception of their body, motor skills and abilities, and a higher self-esteem in line with Campbell's (1995) findings in which athletes in wheelchairs, with disabilities acquired later in life, had an improved state of well-being and a higher self-esteem than those with congenital disabilities. However, a study by Taleporos and McCabe (2002) finding that individuals with physical disabilities gradually adjust to their different bodies and increasingly accept their disabilities over time might suggest that those with congenital disabilities would have had more time to adjust and might show a more positive self-esteem as a result. Our results support Campbell's (1995) data and may be explained by considering the genesis and formation of the physical self an evolving self-perception formed over time through the influence and interdependence of its three main components: somatic, psychological and social. Thus, a self-description of one's body is not exclusively influenced by either real data describing one's physical conformation or psychological, emotional and personal ideas of one's physicality, but by the interaction of both of these components with social factors. To exemplify the fundamental importance of the interaction between the somatic, psychological and social dimensions in the development of the physical self, it is sufficient to think of a physically fit person who may have developed, on a psychological level, a 'distorted' conception of his physical self as a result of a negative and stigmatizing social experiences (Duncan, Al-Nakeeb, & Nevill 2004). A prime example of this is the so-called "phantom fat" condition in which an individual continues to perceive the presence of fat, even after having lost weight, due to earlier social stigmatization from having been obese when younger. Taylor (1994) argued that this occurs because one's identity perception, including bodily considerations, is shaped by social recognition that happens in exchanges with 'others' (i.e., interpersonal relationships within the community to which one belongs, and within the relative cultural tradition). Taylor opens his account by highlighting the way in which contemporary politics has become significantly shaped by the need or demand for recognition made by oppressed or marginalized individuals and groups. Taylor opens his account by highlighting the way in which contemporary politics has become significantly shaped by the need or demand for recognition made by oppressed or marginalized individuals and groups. It is at least partly through recognition of the other that one's identity is formed (Galimberti 2007), and a lack of social recognition, an absolute need for us, may lead to the fragmentation of personal identity, undermining the peaceful development of personal confidence in all facets and dimen-

sions. All that is experienced through the body is fundamental to the cognitive, affective and socio-relational development of every child and teenager and young adults. Taylor like Honneth (1992) emphasizes the vital role that relations of recognition play in the formation of human identity. Also bodily identities.

5. Conclusions

In light of the above considerations, it seems logical to assert that a person with a congenital disability undergoes, starting from birth, a series of stigmatizing negative social influences by significant others. Not only are most at risk of being bullied, but they are also potentially at risk of living in an overprotective family environment which, by underestimating the disabled child's potential, leads him to develop a distorted, negative self-conception. Conversely, a person who is not born disabled may have a greater opportunity to develop and consolidate a solid identity and a positive self-esteem, also thanks to the greater probability that he would receive positive social support from his parents, peers, teachers and all significant others. From the moment at which, over the course of life, one has to contend with a disabling trauma, albeit with difficulty, the person with a newly acquired disability – having had strong social experience and support previously – will often be helped to in accept the disability, able to integrate the disability into his/her identity and process it more positively into a solid physical self-concept (Martin 2010, 2007, 2006).

Taleporos and McCabe (2002) studied body image in people with physical disability in the frame of a social model of disability that considers feelings and attitudes towards the own body influenced also by social factors. They found that involvement in leisure activity, such as sport, provided mental and physical health benefits, enjoyment, and opportunity to develop self-concept and increase self-esteem, and occasions to build and enhance social relationships.

Furthermore, while several studies analysed the effects of the years post-injury on self-perception (e.g., Bailey, Gammage, van Ingen, & Ditor 2015; Specht, King, Brown, & Foris 2002), it was found only few paper considering the time before the disability onset. Learning more about physical self-perception before the onset of a disability resulting in many physical changes to the body, and how individuals adjust to these changes may be useful information in sport and exercise settings.

Positive correlation emerged between the number of years of sport practice and better evaluation of the physical self (obviously there were no influences on flexibility due to the spastic or flaccid form of disability). Sport participation contributes to the development of a positive physical self in adolescents and children with motor disabilities. Likewise, as seen by data in our study regarding generally positive physical self perceptions among our participants, sport and adapted motor activities can be considered critically important inclusive opportunities for people with disabilities, helping them to improve the mental representation they have of their own bodies and thereby increase their level of self-esteem.

Biopsychosocial theory provided us a useful framework for understanding physical self-concept and self-esteem concerns of people with motor disability. The social model of disability infers that persons with identical motor impairments are likely to vary in their feelings and attitudes towards their own body because of social factors such as social support, education, unconditional acceptance from significative others (family, friends, boyfriend/girlfriend) and real and perceived social attitudes (Bailey, Gammage, van Ingen, & Ditor 2015; Taleporos & McCabe 2002).

6. Limitations and future directions

From a theoretical and argumentative point of view this research was based on the biopsychosocial model of disability. In future studies, the impact of other variables (such as: e.g., demographic characteristics; disability conditions; roles of education, family, friends; psychosocial factors like emotional support, perceived discrimination, unconditional acceptance; focus on some aspect of personal achievement, like sports, career, family, education) on the physical

self-concept in people with motor disability is expected to be assessed. Moreover, it would be interested to test the relationships between PSDQ and Acceptance of Disability Scale (AD; adapted by Li & Moore 1998).

Since there are controversial studies about the effect of the onset of physical trauma on acceptance of disability (or having positive physical self-perceptions), it would be suggested to do a randomized study, analyzing the influence of the time with disability physical self-concept, differentiating the two samples subdivided into congenital and acquired disabled.

In people with acquired physical disability, the time they need to adjust to the disability should also be evaluated after the onset of the injury. The influence of the years of sports practice both before and after the onset of disability should be examined. We used the PSDQ after making a language adaptation for people with motor disabilities (see pilot study above). This has not been sufficient. There is a need to adapt the questionnaire conceptually, taking into account both the needs of the researchers and the instances of the population to be interviewed. Because for an athlete with motor disability it is important the appearance of the legs rather than of the face, as well as a 'cold' or 'flu' cannot identify their health status.

References

- Bailey, K.A., Gammage, K.L., van Ingen, C., & Ditor, D.S. (2015). "It's all about acceptance": A qualitative study exploring a model of positive body image for people with spinal cord injury. *Body Image, 15*, 24-34.
- Bassett, R.L., Martin Ginis, K.A., Buchholz, A.C., & SHAPE SCI Research Group (2009). A pilot study examining correlates of body image among women living with spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord, 47*, 496-498.
- Campbell, E. (1995). Psychological well-being of participants in wheelchair sports: Comparison of individuals with congenital and acquired disabilities. *Perceptual and Motor Skills, 81*, 563-568.
- Carraro, A., Scarpa, S., & Ventura, L. (2010). Relationships between physical self-concept and physical fitness in Italian adolescents. *Perceptual and Motor Skills, 110*, 522-530.
- Carless, D., Peacock, S., McKenna, J., & Cooke, C. (2013). Psychosocial outcomes of an inclusive adapted sport and adventurous training course for military personnel. *Disability and Rehabilitation, 35*, 2081-2088.
- Cattuzzo, M.T., Henrique, R.S., Ré, A.H.N., et al. (2016). Motor Competence and health related physical fitness in youth: A systematic review. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport, 19*, 123-129.
- Duncan, M.J., Al-Nakeeb, Y., & Nevill, A.M. (2004). Body esteem and body fat in British school children from different ethnic groups. *Body Image, 1*, 105-122.
- Ferreira, J.P.L., & Fox, K.R. (2008). Physical self-perceptions and self-esteem in male basketball players with and without disability: A preliminary analysis using the physical self-perception profile. *European Journal of Adapted Physical Activity, 1*, 35-49.
- Fox, K.R. (1998). Advances in the measurement of physical self. In J.L. Duda (Ed.), *Advances in sport and exercise psychology measurement*, Morgantown: Fitness Information Technology, 295-310.
- Fox, K.R. (1990) *The Physical Self-Perception Profile manual*. DeKalb, IL: Northern Illinois University, Office for Health promotion.
- Fox, K.R., & Corbin, C.B. (1989). The Physical Self-Perception Profile: Development and preliminary validation. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology, 11*, 48-430
- Galimberti, U. (2007). *L'ospite inquietante. Il nichilismo e i giovani*. Milano: Feltrinelli.
- Groff, D.G., & Kleiber, D.A. (2001). Exploring the identity formation of youth involved in an adapted sports program. *Therapeutic Recreational Journal, 35*, 318-332.

- Hanson, C.S., Nabavi, D., & Yuen, H.K. (2001). The effect of sports on level of community integration as reported by persons with spinal cord injury. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 55(3), 332-338.
- Harter, S., (1982). The Perceived Competence Scale for Children. *Child Development*, 56, 87-97
- Henrique, R.S., Ré, A.H.N., & Stodden, D.F. (2015) Association between sports participation, motor competence and weight status: A longitudinal study. *Journal of Science and Medicine in sport*, X, 1-5.
- Honneth, A. (1992). *Kampf um Anerkennung*. Berlin: Berlin
- Hopper, C.A. (1984). Socialization of wheelchair athletes. In C. Shenill (Ed.), *The 1984 Olympic Scientific Congress proceedings: Vol. 9. Sport and disabled* (pp. 197-202). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- Li, L., & Moore, D. (1998). Acceptance of disability and its correlates. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 138, 13-25.
- Marsh, H.W. (1990) The structure of academic self-concept: The Marsh/Shavelson model. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 82, 623-636
- Marsh, H.W., Richards, G.E., Johnson, S., Roche, L., & Tremayne (1994). Physical Self-Description Questionnaire: Psychometric properties and a multitrait-multimethod analysis of relations to existing instruments. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology*, 16, 270-305.
- Marsh, H.W., & Yeung, A.S. (1998). Top-down, bottom-up and horizontal models: The direction of causality in multidimensional, hierarchical self-concept models. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 75, 509-527.
- Martin, J.J. (2010). The psychosocial dynamics of disability sport and exercise. *XVIII AIPS Congress*, 15-17 October, Chieti/Italy, 1.
- Martin, J.J. (2007). Physical activity behaviors and physical self-concept of individuals with physical disabilities. *Journal of Human Movement Studies*, 52, 37-48.
- Martin, J.J. (2006) Psychosocial aspects of youth disability sport. *Adapted Physical Activity Quarterly*, 23, 65-77.
- Martin, J.J, Vitali, F., & Whalen, L. (2015). Individuals with disabilities. In A.G. Papaioannou, & D. Hackfort, (Eds.) *Routledge companion to sport and exercise psychology: Global perspectives and fundamental concepts* (pp. 105-118). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Martin Ginis, K.A., Jetha, A., Mack, D.E., Hetz, S. (2010). Physical activity and subjective well-being among people with spinal cord injury: A meta-analysis. *Spinal Cord*, 48, 65-72.
- Mastro, J., & Frenchr (1984) Sport anxiety and elite blind athletes. In C. Sherrill (Ed.) *Sport and disabled athletes* (pp. 203-208). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- Meleddu, M., Scalas, L.F., & Guicciardi, M. (2002). Contributo alla validazione italiana del Physical Self-Description Questionnaire. *Bollettino di Psicologia Applicata*, 237, 36-52.
- O'Connor, A.E., & Wayda, K.V. (2000) Exploring Competitive Orientation in a group of Athletes participating in the 1996 Paralympic Trials. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 91, 491-502
- Page, S.J., O'Connor, E.A., & Wayda, V.K. (2000). Exploring competitive orientation in a group of athletes participating in the 1996 Paralympic trials. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 91, 491-502.
- Peart, N.D., Marsh, H.W., & Richards G.E. (2005). *The Physical Self-Description Questionnaire: Furthering research linking physical self-concept, physical activity and physical education*, AARE Conference Paper Abstracts.
- Rosnow, R.L., & Rosenthal, R. (2009). Effect sizes: Why, when, and how to use them. *Journal of Psychology*, 217, 6-14.
- Scarpa, S. (2011a). *Il Corpo nella Mente. Adolescenza, disabilità, sport*. Perugia: Calzetti Marucci.
- Scarpa, S. (2011b). Physical self-concept and self-esteem in adolescents and young with and without physical disability: The role of sports participation. *European Journal of Adapted Physical Activity*, 4, 38-53.

- Scarpa, S., Gobbi, E., Paggiaro, A., & Carraro, A. (2010). Un contributo alla validazione italiana del Physical Self-Description Questionnaire Short. *Giornale Italiano di Psicologia dello Sport*, 8, 25-30.
- Shapiro, D.R., & Martin, J.J. (2010). Multidimensional physical self-concept of athletes with physical disabilities. *Adapted Physical Activity Quarterly*, 27, 294-307.
- Skafida, F.A. (2002). *Sport orientation of Greek elite athletes with physical disabilities*. Paper presented at the Third Scientific Meeting of the Physical Education and Sport Scientists of the Association of Northern Greece, Thessalonica, Greece.
- Skordilis, E.K., Skafida, F.A., Chrysagis, N., & Nikitaras, N. (2006). Comparison of Sport achievement orientation of male wheelchair basketball athletes with congenital and acquired disabilities. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 2006, 103, 726-732.
- Specht, J., King, G., Brown, E., & Foris, C. (2002). The importance of leisure in the lives of persons with congenital physical disabilities. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 56, 436-445.
- Taleporos, G., & McCabe, M.P. (2002). Body image and physical disability—personal perspectives. *Social Science & Medicine*, 54, 971-980.
- Taylor, C. (1994). *Radici dell'io. La costruzione dell'identità moderna*. Milano: Feltrinelli